



KLTR Ownerless Property Transfer Scheme (OPTS)

**Advisory Panel Scoring Sheet**

<b>PROPERTY:</b>	
<b>BV (OPTS) REF:</b>	
<b>PUBLIC BODY / LOCAL AUTHORITY / COMMUNITY BODY:</b>	



**The above application is to be scored against the following 6 criteria as outlined in the published OPTS Application Guidance:**

<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Maximum Score</b>	<b>Overall Percentage</b>
<b>The Intended Owner</b>	<b>Non-Scoring</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Public Interest / Benefits</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>20%</b>
<b>Definition of Community</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>20%</b>
<b>Community Interest and Support</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>20%</b>
<b>Sustainability and Environmental Impact</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>20%</b>
<b>Project Viability</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>20%</b>

<b>CRITERION 1: THE INTENDED OWNER</b>	<b>CRITERION SATISFIED</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The legal entity to receive the OPTS property, e.g. public body, local authority, community body, registered company or company not yet registered, private individual(s), etc. If the community is to purchase the property and the community organisation is still being created, your application should indicate when this is expected to be complete. This must be at least 2 months prior to receiving the property to allow the KLTR time to consider the organisation's governing documents.</li> </ul>	Yes / No



CRITERION 2: PUBLIC INTEREST / BENEFITS	SCORING BENEFITS					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To what extent do the overall vision for the project and the project outcomes align with the aims and objectives of the OPTS and to what extent do the proposals demonstrate the benefits of the project to the local and wider communities. This might include, but is not limited to:                             <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Economic/ social/ environmental regeneration</li> <li>Providing educational / economic / social / cultural benefits</li> <li>Improving wellbeing / mental health / physical health</li> <li>Providing accessible leisure facilities</li> </ol> </li> <li>What proportion of the local population are likely to benefit from this project?</li> <li>How is the project likely to make a positive contribution to removing inequalities and addressing different needs within the community?</li> </ul>	0 - No Evidence	1 - Very Low	2 - Low	3 - Moderate	4 - Strong	5 - Very Strong
<p><b>SCORING GUIDANCE</b>  <b>20% of score</b>  <b>Very strong proposals</b> will make a major contribution to several local outcomes, deliver transformational benefits to the community, with significant public benefit, and demonstrate clear evidence of benefits and steps it has taken to contribute to equalities outcomes.  <b>Very low impact proposals</b> will make a limited contribution to local outcomes and have a small positive outcome on community benefit.                      Proposals may receive a <b>low score</b> if they are likely to impact on the community's capacity to deliver other activities or have a negative impact on parts of the community.</p>						
<p><b>Panel comments</b></p>						



CRITERION 3: DEFINITION OF COMMUNITY	SCORING BENEFITS					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>How has the community been defined? (by postcode unit, settlement, local authority area or in another way).</li> <li>Is the community definition inclusive or exclusive and is community body membership open to all members of the local community?</li> </ul>	0 - No Evidence	1 - Very Low	2 - Low	3 - Moderate	4 - Strong	5 - Very Strong
<p><b>SCORING GUIDANCE</b> <b>20% of score</b></p> <p><i>Very strong proposals will demonstrate clear evidence of community definition and inclusivity. Very low scoring proposals will have limited evidence and/or a poorly defined community and an may not be open to all members of the local community without good reason.</i></p>						
<p><b>Panel comments</b></p>						

CRITERION 4: <b>COMMUNITY INTEREST AND SUPPORT</b>	<b>SCORING BENEFITS</b>					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Has the applicant discussed plans with other local, regional, or national organisations?</li> <li>• Is there demonstrable support for the project within the community? How has this been demonstrated?</li> <li>• Does the project involve the formation of new local or regional collaborations, or the strengthening of existing collaborative networks?</li> </ul>	0 - No Evidence	1 - Very Low	2 - Low	3 - Moderate	4 - Strong	5 - Very Strong
<p><b>SCORING GUIDANCE</b> <b>20% of score</b></p> <p><b>Very strong proposals</b> will have strong partnership and support from the local authority. Clearly demonstrate strong community engagement and participation in the project and contribute to the wider public benefits.</p> <p><b>Very low impact</b> proposals will not have engaged with potential partners, will have evidence of community support but little active engagement and no evidence of contribution to any wider public benefit.</p> <p>Projects may receive a <b>low score</b> if they are likely to have a negative impact on local aspirations, where there is evidence of significant community opposition to the proposals and/or the proposals will have a negative impact on the wider community.</p>						
<p><b>Panel comments</b></p>						

CRITERION 5: SUSTAINABILITY & ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT	SCORING BENEFITS					
<p><b>Social</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>How will the project ensure that the land/ property can be used for the benefit of future generations?</li> </ul> <p><b>Economic</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>How will the project improve the local area for future generations?</li> </ul> <p><b>Environmental</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>How will the project improve environmental outcomes for the local area or region?</li> <li>How will the project contribute to globally relevant environmental concerns such as the net zero commitment?</li> </ul>	0 - No Evidence	1 - Very Low	2 - Low	3 - Moderate	4 - Strong	5 - Very Strong
<p><b>SCORING GUIDANCE</b>  <b>20% of score</b>  <b>Very strong proposals</b> will have addressed economic, social and environmental benefits in the longer term. Discussions will have taken place between public bodies/ local authorities.  <b>Very low impact</b> will not have addressed any economic, social and environmental benefits. There will be little or no evidence of support/ collaboration from public bodies/ local authorities. Projects may receive a <b>low score</b> if they are like to have a negative impact on the environment or the local area or region.</p>						
<p><b>Panel comments</b></p>						



CRITERION 6: PROJECT VIABILITY	SCORING BENEFITS					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Does the applicant have a clear, realistic vision of the project objectives?</li> <li>Does the applicant provide suitable evidence, such as a business plan, which indicates their ability to achieve the project objectives over time?</li> <li>Is the organisation and project organised in a resilient manner to ensure effective stewardship of the land for the coming years, including monitoring and adjusting project outcomes or management?</li> </ul>	0 - No Evidence	1 - Very Low	2 - Low	3 - Moderate	4 - Strong	5 - Very Strong
<p><b>SCORING GUIDANCE</b>  <b>20% of score</b>  <b>Very strong proposals</b> will have a clear plan, with leadership and other roles clearly identified, clear governance in place, evidence that the level of resources and community capacity is appropriate to the scale of the project, a longer-term plan and appropriate reporting and monitoring process.  <b>Very low impact proposals</b> are likely to show little evidence of their capacity to deliver the benefits identified. Proposals may receive a <b>low score</b> if there is no governance in place, with no clear responsibility for delivery or a lack of resources and sustainability which is likely to lead to project failure, particularly where this may create a liability for the community or for the local authority.</p>						
<p><b>Panel comments</b></p>						

**SCORE FOR PROPOSALS**

**OUT OF 25**